# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,387	10/11/2005	Sang-Moon Moh	. ABS-1710 US	8006	
	· 7590 06/14/2007 NN KWOK CHEN & HEID	06/14/2007 KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP		EXAMINER	
2033 GATEWAY PLACE			SAID, MANSOUR M		
SUITE 400 SAN JOSE, CA	A 95110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
. •	•		. 06/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/522,387	MOH, SANG-MOON				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MANSOUR M. SAID	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 O	<u>ctober 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	Г.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	ea.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/25/05</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/522,387

Art Unit: 2629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

- 1. Claim1 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1, line 19, the phrase "be fore" should be changed to -before--. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claims 4-6 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim 3. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-6 not been further treated on the merits.

#### Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns,"

"The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,387

Art Unit: 2629

4. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

## Allowable Subject Matter

#### 5. Claims 1-6 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claims 1-11 are allowed since certain key features of the claimed invention are not taught or fairly suggested by prior art.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: "wherein the data driver reverses the polarity of the gray voltages to be applied to the data lines of the liquid crystal panel by a predetermined number of rows, and biases the data lines to a voltage with a predetermined level before outputting the gray voltages to the pixels in each scanned row'.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,387

Art Unit: 2629

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mansour M. Said whose telephone number is 571-272-7679. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30-6:00 P.M. The

examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST. If attempts to

reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerpe

whose telephone number is 571-272-7681.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

571-273-8300 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window at the

Randolph Building, 401, Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mansour M. Said

6/9/07

RICHARD HJEHPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600